1 2 3 4	sbrun@kslaw.com KING & SPALDING LLP 50 California Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 318-1200	
5 6 7 8 9	BRUCE W. BABER (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) bbaber@kslaw.com ELLEN Y. MIN (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) emin@kslaw.com KING & SPALDING LLP 1180 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303-1763 Telephone: (404) 572-4600 Facsimile: (404) 572-5100 Attorneys for Defendants	
11 12	Maxim Konovalov, Igor Sysoev, Andrey Alexeev, Maxim Dounin, Gleb Smirnoff, and Angus Robertson	
13 14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	LYNWOOD INVESTMENTS CY LIMITED,	Case No. 3:20-cv-03778- MMC
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. MAXIM KONOVALOV, IGOR SYSOEV, ANDREY ALEXEEV, MAXIM DOUNIN, GLEB SMIRNOFF, ANGUS ROBERTSON, NGINX, INC. (BVI), NGINX SOFTWARE, INC., NGINX, INC. (DE), BV NGINX, LLC, RUNA CAPITAL, INC., EVENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS II LLC and F5 NETWORKS, INC., Defendants.	STATEMENT OF DEFENDANTS MAXIM KONOVALOV, IGOR SYSOEV, ANDREY ALEXEEV, MAXIM DOUNIN, GLEB SMIRNOFF, AND ANGUS ROBERTSON REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL (ECF NO. 228) SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED: April 7, 2025
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
~		
28		

CASE NO. 3:20-CV-03778-MMC

STATEMENT OF INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL

Case 3:20-cv-03778-MMC Document 241 Filed 09/19/25 Page 1 of 3

Angus Robertson, named as defendants in the above-captioned matter (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, file this statement regarding "Plaintiff's Motion To Compel Netflix, Inc. To Comply With Plaintiff's Subpoena," filed by plaintiff Lynwood Investments CY Limited on August 29, 2025 (ECF No. 228) ("Lynwood's Motion to Compel").

Maxim Konovalov, Igor Sysoev, Andrey Alexeev, Maxim Dounin, Gleb Smirnoff, and

STATEMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

Counsel for the Individual Defendants have reviewed Lynwood's Motion to Compel and the papers filed by Lynwood in support thereof, including Lynwood's thirteen-page proposed Order (ECF No. 228-1), which requests, inter alia, that the Court make rulings regarding the meaning of orders entered by Judge Chesney, including rulings regarding what Judge Chesney "intended." The Individual Defendants believe that Lynwood's Motion to Compel and the papers in support thereof do not accurately reflect the state of discovery in this matter or past proceedings in this action.

The Individual Defendants have not participated in any discussions between Lynwood's counsel and counsel for Netflix, Inc. ("Netflix") regarding Lynwood's subpoena to Netflix. The Individual Defendants take no position on the specific issues raised in Lynwood's Motion to Compel.

The Individual Defendants do believe, however, that it is important for the Magistrate Judge to have an accurate understanding of the history and status of this matter, to the extent those subjects are relevant to the issues raised in Lynwood's Motion to Compel or are discussed at the hearing on Lynwood's Motion to Compel scheduled for October 16, 2025. Counsel for the Individual Defendants therefore plan to appear before the Court for the hearing on Lynwood's Motion on October 16, 2025, to be available to participate as needed to ensure that the Court has accurate facts regarding the state of discovery in this matter, past proceedings in this action, and the status of current activities in this action.

2

28